

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,021	11/14/2003	James C. Bartelo	FIS920030197US1 1020	
29154 7:	590 02/03/2005		EXAMINER	
FREDERICK W. GIBB, III MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC		CHU, CHRIS C		
2568-A RIVA	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 304			2815	
ANNAPOLIS,	MD 21401		DATE MAILED: 02/03/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

١	ŀ	·Ð
1	١	7

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/707,021	BARTELO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Chair C Chu	2815	
Chris C. Chu	2013	

Defend the Fillian of an Annual Drief							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Chris C. Chu	2815					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
HE REPLY FILED 27 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have							
peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  MENDMENTS							
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered	because				
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	g the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	elected claims					
NOTE: <u>Claims 1, 7, 12 and 18 have been amend</u>			es a lead-free				
solder. This new limitation requires further search	/consideration. (See 37 CFR 1.116	and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will wit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fo	ails to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
13.	955	·la x					
	GEORGE	FCKERT					
		EXAMINER					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Paper No. 2005 0201